

Metric Number: 6.3.3 Percentage of teaching and non-teaching staff participating in Faculty Development Programs (FDP), Management Development Program, professional development/administrative management courses during the

last five years

Copy of the certificates of the program attended by teachers.



Copy of the certificates of the program attended by teachers for ACY-2019-20



Autonomous College, Permanently Affiliated to VTU, Approved by AICTE & UGC Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade & Accredited by NBA

Certificate of Participation

This is to Certify that,

Dr./Prof./Mrs.

Ambhika P. R.

from Cit

City Engineering College, Bangalore -560062

has participated in the five days Faculty Development Programme on "Outcome Based Education & NBA Accreditation" held from 28th December 2019 to 1st February 2020.

TEQIP 1.3 SPONSORED FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

"OUTCOME BASED EDUCATION AND NBA ACCREDITATION"

In Association with



VISVESVARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY Jnana Sangama, Belagavi, Karnataka


ARYABHATTA KNOWLEDGE UNIVERSITY Patna, Bihar

BIJU PATNAIK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Rourkela, Odisha

ORGANIZED BY

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING New Horizon College of Engineering Bangalore

Dr. M. S. Ganesha Prasad Dean - Mechanical Engineering NHCE, Bangalore. Dr. Manjunatha Principal, NHCE, Bangalore.









ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION

Nelson Mandela Marg, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110 070

AICTE Training And Learning (ATAL) Academy

Certificate

This to be certified that DR. SHALINI PRASAD participated & completed successfully AICTE Training And Learning (ATAL) Academy Online FDP on "Artificial Intelligence" from 04-05-2020 to 08-05-2020 at MOTILAL NEHRU NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALLAHABAD.

Jenin Son

Director ATAL Academies



Sur

Coordinator

Certificate No: ICT-921/20

National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research Chandigarh

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Certificate

This is to certify that

SHALINI PRASAD

CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE KARNATAKA

Participated in the AICTE Recognized Faculty Development Programme

on

Internet of Things

Conducted by

Computer Science and Engineering Department

from

10/04/2020 to 14/04/2020 (One Week)

at


NITTTR, Chandigarh



Coordinator


B S W

Director





VELAGAPUDI RAMAKRISHNA SIDDHARTHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE







DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



Certificate of Participation

This is to certify that

Dr./Mr./Mrs. Mala P

of City Engineering College, Bangalore-560062

has

participated in the Five Day Faculty Development Programe (FDP) on "Cyber Security", Conducted by the Department of Information Technology, Velagapudi Ramakrishna Siddhartha Engineering College in association with Supraja Technologies & Computer Society of India Vijayawada Chapter, from 23-05-2020 to 27-05-2020.

Prof.Rajesh Jampala

Chairman of CSI (Computer Society of India) Vijeyanada Chapter Oh 55 Santosh

Mr.Santosh Chaluvadi

CEO - Supraja Technologies

Dr. M. Suneetha

Convenor & Head of the Department Information Technologe, VRSEC. Dr.A.V.Ratna Prasad

Principal, VRSEC



Autonomous College, Permanently Affiliated to VTU, Approved by AICTE & UGC Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade & Accredited by NBA

Certificate of Participation

This is to Certify that,

Nandish A. C. Dr./Prof./Mr./Mrs.

City Engineering College, Bangalore -560062

has participated in the five days Faculty Development Programme on "Outcome Based Education & NBA Accreditation" held from 28th December 2019 to 1st February 2020.

TEQIP 1.3 SPONSORED FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

"OUTCOME BASED EDUCATION AND **NBA ACCREDITATION**"

In Association with



VISVESVARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY Jnana Sangama, Belagavi, Karnataka

ARYABHATTA KNOWLEDGE UNIVERSITY Patna, Bihar

BIJU PATNAIK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Rourkela, Odisha

ORGANIZED BY

Dr. M. S. Ganesha Prasad Dean - Mechanical Engineering NHCE, Bangalore.

Dr. Manjunatha Principal,

NHCE, Bangalore.

Certificate No: ICT-921/20

National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research Chandigarh

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Certificate

This is to certify that

Prof. Pushpa S

CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE KARNATAKA

Participated in the AICTE Recognized Faculty Development Programme

on

Internet of Things

Conducted by

Computer Science and Engineering Department

from

10/04/2020 to 14/04/2020 (One Week)

at

NITTTR, Chandigarh




Coordinator

Director



VELAGAPUDI RAMAKRISHNA SIDDHARTHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE







DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



Certificate of Participation

This is to certify that

Dr./Mr./Mrs. Ram Manohar Nisarg N S

of City Engineering College, Bangalore-560062

has

participated in the Five Day Faculty Development Programe (FDP) on "Cyber Security", Conducted by the Department of Information Technology, Velagapudi Ramakrishna Siddhartha Engineering College in association with Supraja Technologies & Computer Society of India Vijayawada Chapter, from 23-05-2020 to 27-05-2020.

Prof.Rajesh Jampala

Chairman of CSS (Computer Society of India) Vijeyanada Chapter Oh 55 Santosh

Mr.Santosh Chaluvadi

CEO - Supraja Technologies

Dr.M.Suneetha

Convenor & Head of the Department Information Technologe, VRSEC. Dr.A.V.Ratna Prasad

Principal, VRSEC



Autonomous College, Permanently Affiliated to VTU, Approved by AICTE & UGC Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade & Accredited by NBA

Certificate of Participation

This is to Certify that,

Dr./Prof./Mrs. ___Rekha R

City Engineering College, Bangalore -560062

has participated in the five days Faculty Development Programme on "Outcome Based Education & NBA Accreditation" held from 28th December 2019 to 1st February 2020.

TEQIP 1.3 SPONSORED FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

"OUTCOME BASED EDUCATION AND NBA ACCREDITATION"

In Association with



VISVESVARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY Jnana Sangama, Belagavi, Karnataka


ARYABHATTA KNOWLEDGE UNIVERSITY Patna, Bihar

BIJU PATNAIK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Rourkela, Odisha

ORGANIZED BY


DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING New Horizon College of Engineering Bangalore

Dr. M. S. Ganesha Prasad Dean - Mechanical Engineering NHCE, Bangalore. Dr. Manjunatha Principal, NHCE, Bangalore.





VELAGAPUDI RAMAKRISHNA SIDDHARTHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE







DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



Certificate of Participation

This is to certify that

Dr./Mr./Mrs. Prof. Shalini Prasad

of City Engineering College, Bangalore-560062

has

participated in the Five Day Faculty Development Programe (FDP) on "Cyber Security", Conducted by the Department of Information Technology, Velagapudi Ramakrishna Siddhartha Engineering College in association with Supraja Technologies & Computer Society of India Vijayawada Chapter, from 23-05-2020 to 27-05-2020.

Prof.Rajesh Jampala

Chairman of CSS (Computer Society of India) Vi(eyamada Chapter Oh 55 Santosh

Mr.Santosh Chaluvadi

CEO - Sapraja Technologies

Dr.M.Suneetha

Convenor & Head of the Department Information Technology, VRSEC. Dr.A.V.Ratna Prasad

Principal, VRSEC



Autonomous College, Permanently Affiliated to VTU, Approved by AICTE & UGC Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade & Accredited by NBA

Certificate of Participation

This is to Certify that,

Dr./Prof./Mrs. Shylaja K.

City Engineering College,Bangalore -560062

has participated in the five days Faculty Development Programme on "Outcome Based Education & NBA Accreditation" held from 28th December 2019 to 1st February 2020.

TEQIP 1.3 SPONSORED FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

"OUTCOME BASED EDUCATION AND NBA ACCREDITATION"

In Association with



VISVESVARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY Jnana Sangama, Belagavi, Karnataka

ARYABHATTA KNOWLEDGE UNIVERSITY Patna, Bihar

BIJU PATNAIK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Rourkela, Odisha

ORGANIZED BY

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
New Harizon College of Engineering
Bangalore

Dr. M. S. Ganesha Prasad Dean - Mechanical Engineering NHCE, Bangalore. Dr. Manjunatha Principal, NHCE, Bangalore.



Certificate No: ICT-921/20

National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research Chandigarh

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Certificate

This is to certify that

Prof. Vinod Kumar S

CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE KARNATAKA

Participated in the AICTE Recognized Faculty Development Programme

on

Internet of Things

Conducted by

Computer Science and Engineering Department

from

10/04/2020 to 14/04/2020 (One Week)

at

NITTTR, Chandigarh



Coordinator

B2 N

Director



RAJKIYA ENGINEERING COLLEGE AZAMGARH



Jointly Organized


One Week Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE"

(27th April 2020 to 01st May 2020)

under


Technical Education Quality Improvement Program - III



CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION

This is to certify that

Dr. H N TIPPESWAMY



CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE

has Participated in One Week TEQIP - Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB Applications in Engineering and Science (MAES - 2020)"

from 27th April - 01st May 2020 (Sponsored by Technical Education Quality Improvement Program -III) Organized by Civil Engineering Department, Government College of Engineering, Karad.

Prof. Amarsinh B. Landage

Coordinator

Prof. B. A. Konnur

Convener & TEQIP III Coordinator Prof. S. S. Valunjkar

Chairman & Head of Department

Prof. A. T. Pise





RAJKIYA ENGINEERING COLLEGE AZAMGARH



Jointly Organized


One Week Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE"

(27th April 2020 to 01st May 2020)

under


Technical Education Quality Improvement Program - III



CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION

This is to certify that

Dr. H KARIBASAPPA



CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE

has Participated in One Week TEQIP - Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB Applications in Engineering and Science (MAES - 2020)"

from 27th April - 01st May 2020 (Sponsored by Technical Education Quality Improvement Program -III) Organized by Civil Engineering Department, Government College of Engineering, Karad.

Prof. Amarsinh B. Landage

Coordinator

Prof. B. A. Konnur

Convener & TEQIP III Coordinator Prof. S. S. Valunjkar

Chairman & Head of Department

Prof. A. T. Pise





RAJKIYA ENGINEERING COLLEGE AZAMGARH



Jointly Organized

One Week Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE"

(27th April 2020 to 01st May 2020)

under


Technical Education Quality Improvement Program - III



CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION

This is to certify that

Mr. M C MAHESH KUMAR



CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE

has Participated in One Week TEQIP - Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB Applications in Engineering and Science (MAES - 2020)"

from 27th April - 01st May 2020 (Sponsored by Technical Education Quality Improvement Program -III) Organized by Civil Engineering Department, Government College of Engineering, Karad.

Prof. Amarsinh B. Landage

Coordinator

Prof. B. A. Konnur

Convener & TEQIP III Coordinator Prof. S. S. Valunjkar

Chairman & Head of Department

Prof. A. T. Pise





RAJKIYA ENGINEERING COLLEGE AZAMGARH



Jointly Organized

One Week Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE"

(27th April 2020 to 01st May 2020)

under


Technical Education Quality Improvement Program - III



CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION

This is to certify that

Mr. GURUPRASAD



CITY ENGINEERING COLLEGE, BANGALORE

has Participated in One Week TEQIP - Online Faculty Development Program on

"MATLAB Applications in Engineering and Science (MAES - 2020)"

from 27th April - 01st May 2020 (Sponsored by Technical Education Quality Improvement Program -III) Organized by Civil Engineering Department, Government College of Engineering, Karad.

Prof. Amarsinh B. Landage

Coordinator

Prof. B. A. Konnur


Convener & TEQIP III Coordinator Prof. S. S. Valunjkar

Chairman & Head of Department

Prof. A. T. Pise











This certifies that Mr. Harsha Vardhan



of

City Engineering College, Bangalore 560062

has participated in one week Student & Faculty Development Program on

"Role of Engineer in Uplifting the Industry Post COVID-19 Lock Down In India"

Organized By

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Sandip Institute of Engineering & Management

15-May-2020

Date & Time

Coordinator

P.P. Levi Coordinator

Convenor

Principal



J D College of Engineering & Management, Nagpur

An Autonomous Institute



Affiliated to Technological University Of Maharashtra.

This is to certify that Dr./Prof.

Mrs. Sunitha N

has

participated in one week "Online Faculty Development Program on LaTex" from 18th May to 23rd May 2020 at J D College of Engineering & Management in association with Spoken Tutorial, IIT Bombay.

Prof. M.M. Baig

Faculty Coordinator of Spoken Tutorial (Bkmatger)

Dr. B.R. Mahajan Head of Department Mechanical Engineering DR. S.V. Sonekar Vice Principal JDCOEM DR. S.R. Chaudhari Principal JDCOEM 

J D College of Engineering & Management, Nagpur

An Autonomous Institute



Affiliated to Technological University Of Maharashtra.

This is to certify that Dr./Prof.

Dr.K Sujatha

participated in one week " Online Faculty Development Program on LaTex " from 18th May to 23rd May 2020 at J D College of Engineering & Management in association with Spoken Tutorial, IIT Bombay.

Prof. M.M. Balg.

Faculty Coordinator of Spoken Tutorial

Dr. B.R. Mahajan

Blematy

Head of Department

Mechanical Engineering

DR. S.V. Sonekar Vice Principal

JDCOEM

DR. S.R. Chaudhari Principal

JDCOEM



J D College of Engineering & Management, Nagpur

An Autonomous Institute



Affiliated to Technological University Of Maharashtra.

This is to certify that Dr./Prof.

Ms. Nagashree G

participated in one week " Online Faculty Development Program on LaTex " from 18th May to 23rd May 2020 at J D College of Engineering & Management in association with Spoken Tutorial, IIT Bombay.

Prof. M.M. Balg.

Faculty Coordinator of Spoken Tutorial

Dr. B.R. Mahajan Head of Department

Bkmahya

Mechanical Engineering

DR. S.V. Sonekar Vice Principal

Principal! **JDCOEM**

JDC0EM

DR. S.R. Chaudhari







Sultanpur (V), Pulkal (M), Sangareddy District, Telangana State.

and



Spoken Tutorial Project, IIT Bombay

Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching (PMMMNMTT)

Faculty Development Program

SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB

(25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020)

Certificate

This is to certify that Dr. N Rajashekar Reddy

has successfully completed one week National Level Faculty Development Program and online Training on "SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB" jointly organized by JNTUH College of Engineering, Sultanpur, Sangareddy (Dt.) and Spoken Tutorial Project IIT Bombay from 25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020.

Dr. M. Shailaja Prof. of ME, JNTUHCES Co-Ordinator Dr. V. Venkateswara Reddy Vice- Principal, JNTUHCES

Convener

Dr. B. Balu Naik Principal, INTUHCES

Chairman







Sultanpur (V), Pulkal (M), Sangareddy District, Telangana State.

and



Spoken Tutorial Project, IIT Bombay

Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching
(PMMMNMTT)

Faculty Development Program

SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB

(25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020)

Certificate

This is to certify that Mr. G A Girish

has successfully completed one week National Level Faculty Development Program and online Training on "SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB" jointly organized by JNTUH College of Engineering, Sultanpur, Sangareddy (Dt.) and Spoken Tutorial Project IIT Bombay from 25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020.

Dr. M. Shailaja Prof. of ME, JNTUHCES Co-Ordinator Dr. V. Venkateswara Reddy Vice- Principal, JNTUHCES

Convener

Principal, JNTUHCES Chairman

Dr. B. Balu Naik







Sultanpur (V), Pulkal (M), Sangareddy District, Telangana State.

and

Spoken Tutorial Project, IIT Bombay

Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching
(PMMMNMTT)

Faculty Development Program

SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB

(25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020)

Certificate

This is to certify that Mr. Surendranth Gowda D C

has successfully completed one week National Level Faculty Development Program and online Training on "SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB" jointly organized by JNTUH College of Engineering, Sultanpur, Sangareddy (Dt.) and Spoken Tutorial Project IIT Bombay from 25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020.

Dr. M. Shailaja Prof. of ME, JNTUHCES Co-Ordinator Dr. V. Venkateswara Reddy Vice- Principal, INTUHCES Convener Dr. B. Balu Naik Principal, JNTUHCES

Chairman







Sultanpur (V), Pulkal (M), Sangareddy District, Telangana State.

and



Spoken Tutorial Project, IIT Bombay

Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching (PMMMNMTT)

Faculty Development Program

SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB

(25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020)

Certificate

This is to certify that Ms. Deepika R

has successfully completed one week National Level Faculty Development Program and online Training on "SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB" jointly organized by JNTUH College of Engineering, Sultanpur, Sangareddy (Dt.) and Spoken Tutorial Project IIT Bombay from 25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020.

Dr. M. Shailaja Prof. of ME, JNTUHCES Co-Ordinator Dr. V. Venkateswara Reddy Vice- Principal, INTUHCES Convener

Principal, JNTUHCES

Chairman

Dr. B. Balu Naik







Sultanpur (V), Pulkal (M), Sangareddy District, Telangana State.

and

Spoken Tutorial Project, IIT Bombay

Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching (PMMMNMTT)

Faculty Development Program

SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB

(25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020)

Certificate

This is to certify that Mrs. Kavyashree Yadav J

has successfully completed one week National Level Faculty Development Program and online Training on "SCILAB - An Open Source Substitute for MATLAB" jointly organized by JNTUH College of Engineering, Sultanpur, Sangareddy (Dt.) and Spoken Tutorial Project IIT Bombay from 25th May 2020 to 30th May 2020.

Dr. M. Shailaja Prof. of ME, JNTUHCES Co-Ordinator Dr. V. Venkateswara Reddy Vice- Principal, INTUHCES Convener Dr. B. Balu Naik Principal, JNTUHCES

Chairman



Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology

Hashu Advani Memorial Complex, Collector's Colony, Chembur, Mumbai - 74 Approved by AICTE and Affiliated to University of Mumbai



E-Certificate of Participation This is to Certify that

Mr. Ravindra S

has attended one week Online Faculty Development Program on "Opportunities & Challenges in Electronics & Allied Industries in India post COVID-19" from 25th to 30th May 2020 organized by Department of Electronics Engineering in association with Institution's Innovation Council (IIC) of Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology.

Dr.(Mrs). Asawari Dudwadkar

Coordinator

Mr. Yogosh Pandit Coordinator

Dr.(Mrs).T. Rajani Mangala Convener

Mrs. Navoeta Kant Deputy H.O.D.

Kant Navest

Mrs. Kavita Tewari

HOD.



Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology

Hashu Advani Memorial Complex, Collector's Colony, Chembur, Mumbai - 74

Approved by AICTE and Affiliated to University of Mumbai



E-Certificate of Participation This is to Certify that

Mr. Mallikarjuna G S

has attended one week Online Faculty Development Program on
"Opportunities & Challenges in Electronics & Allied Industries in India post COVID-19"
from 25th to 30th May 2020 organized by Department of Electronics Engineering
in association with Institution's Innovation Council (IIC)
of Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology.

Agodealya

Dr.(Mrs). Asawari Dudwadkar Coordinator Mr. Yogesh Pandit Coordinator

Dr.(Mrs).T. Rajuni Mangala Convener Mrs.Naveeta Kant Deputy H.O.D. Mrs.Kavita Tewari H.O.D.



Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology



Hashu Advani Memorial Complex, Collector's Colony, Chembur, Mumbai - 74

Approved by AICTE and Affiliated to University of Mumbai

E-Certificate of Participation This is to Certify that

Mr. Vishvakiran R C

has attended one week Online Faculty Development Program on
"Opportunities & Challenges in Electronics & Allied Industries in India post COVID-19"
from 25th to 30th May 2020 organized by Department of Electronics Engineering
in association with Institution's Innovation Council (IIC)
of Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology.

Agoveralla

Dr.(Mrs).Asawari Dudwadkar Coordinator Mr. Yogesh Pandit Coordinator Dr.(Mrs).T. Rajani Mangala

Convenor.

Mrs.Naveeta Kant Deputy H.O.D.

Kant Mrs.Kavita Tewar D. H.O.D.



PVKK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

(Affiliated to JNTUA, ANANTAPURAMU, Approved by A.I.C.T.E, NEW DELHI)





This certificate is proudly presented to

Mrs. Archana Bhat

City Engineering College, Bangalore

Mixella eigla gai uRea National Youth Council of India

for his/her participation in "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE" 5-Day-National level online Faculty Development Program on 22 May to 26 May 2020, Organised by the department of Computer Science and Engineering, PVKK Institute of Technology in collaboration with NYCI & BRAINOVISION Solutions(Ind)Pvt. Ltd setting a proud World Record with 13000 diligent learners across the nation.





Dr. Datachana Murthy National Executive President National Youth Council of India Ganesh Naga Doddi CEO of Brainovision F. Bhazgari Dr. K. Bhargavi Convenor-HOD

Dr. Bandi Ramesh Babu Principal



(Affiliated to JNTUA, ANANTAPURAMU, Approved by A.I.C.T.E, NEW DELHI)





This certificate is proudly presented to

Mrs. Manjula S

City Engineering College, Bangalore



for his/her participation in "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE" 5-Day-National level online Faculty Development Program on 22 May to 26 May 2020, Organised by the department of Computer Science and Engineering, PVKK Institute of Technology in collaboration with NYCI & BRAINOVISION Solutions(Ind)Pvt. Ltd setting a proud World Record with 13000 diligent learners across the nation.





Dr. Datachana Murthy National Executive President National Youth Council of India Ganesh Naga Doddi

4: Bhargail Dr. K. Bhargavi CEO of Brainovision Convenor-HOD

Dr. Bandi Ramesh Babu Principal



(Affiliated to JNTUA, ANANTAPURAMU, Approved by A.I.C.T.E, NEW DELHI)





This certificate is proudly presented to

Mrs.Sriraksha S

City Engineering College, Bangalore



for his/her participation in "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE" 5-Day-National level online Faculty Development Program on 22 May to 26 May 2020, Organised by the department of Computer Science and Engineering, PVKK Institute of Technology in collaboration with NYCI & BRAINOVISION Solutions(Ind)Pvt. Ltd setting a proud World Record with 13000 diligent learners across the nation.





Dr. Datachana Murthy National Executive President National Youth Council of India Ganesh Naga Doddi

CEO of Brainovision

4: Bhargail Dr. K. Bhargavi Convenor-HOD

Dr. Bandi Ramesh Babu Principal



Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded



"Dnyanteerth", Vishnupuri, Nanded-431606, Maharashtra One Week National Online Faculty Development Program



E-Certificate

This is to certify that Mrs. Jyothi P, City Engineering College, Bangalore
has successfully completed One Week National Online Faculty Development Program on ICT Tools for
Effective Teaching Learning, from 11th to 16th May 2020, organized by School of Mathematical Sciences,

Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded.

Bunk

Dr. Rupali Jain

Co-Coordinator Assistant Professor School of Math. Sci. B. Swendra

Dr. B. Surendranath Reddy

Coordinator Assistant Professor School of Math. Sci. - Janes

Dr. Dnyaneshwar Pawar

Convener Professor & Director School of Math. Sci. Dr. Udhav Bhosle

Vice Chancellor SRTM University, Nanded

	A.	SECTION.
2	NW.	9
	. [-] onese	City
*		City
	*	_

JAYANAGAR EDUCATION SOCIETY

AMS .	7 th Block, Jayan	agar, Bengaluru-7	0	
City PU Co	llege . Brook	dyn NPS - Vasa	nthapura	
City Colleg	e 🔲 Broot	dvn NPS - Java	nadar	Section 1
TH City Enga	College Brook	dyn Bil Collogo	. Vacanthanus	
	JESCHENNISCHE FRANKLINGER DER	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
No.: CECLCSG			te: 27/12/2019	
Please grant me Cas Permission (P) Co	ual Leave (CL) Office mpensatory Holiday (Cl	cial Duty (OD)	Short Leave (SL)	
Name @	mbilea P.	6.	,	
Designation: A	sistent pro	Ju Department :	CSE	
Mobile: 994	5/33228	E-mail: am	bile PRECityons	we acin_
Purpose: FD Colle	P at A gl - g . E	ngineeri	orison. Signature	are elementates substitute
Recommended / Not	Previous Leave Oetails	Leave Eligible	Sanctioned 🖸	
HOD		1.	Not saucioned [. 11 -
HR Department	Accounts £	ept.	Regression	Andreas Andrea
	CONDITIO	SNC:	•	
Sanction of leave is subjectight of employee.			· ·	ne del
Prior approval from Vice Pr				. / dis
Employee cannot avail the eave record book to be m		t working day of the	academics session.	Martine A
_eave above 3 days - appr		s needed		
CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTIONS			A CHARLEST CONTRACTOR	N-SON

City PU Co City Colleg City Engg. C: ease grant me Case grant (P) Co	ANAGAR EDU 7" Block, Jayana llege	Iyn NPS - Vasan Iyn NPS - Jayan Iyn NPS - Jayan Iyn PU College- Date Isl Duty (OO) (Tick in the a	ithapura agar Vasanthapura :: hort Leave (SL) []
	projector.		CEE
Mobile :		E-mail: deep	ile recitiency
or the Poriod from 2.5.1 urpose :	6 2 0 9 10 2 9 16 1:	BNMIT,	SEC WOLLD
Recommended / Not	Previous Leave Oetails	Leave Eligible	Sanctioned []
HOD			Not sanctioned
HR Departmen	Accounts E	2001	Principal

CONDITIONS:

- Sanction of leave is subject to the availability of leave to the credit of the employee and leave is not the right of employee.
- Prior approval from Vice Principal / Principal is Mandatory at least one week in advance.
- Employee cannot avail the leave on the first or/ and last working day of the academics session.
- Leave record book to be maintained in the office.
- Leave above 3 days approval from the management is needed.

City PU Co	ollege ☐ Broo ge ☐ Broo College ☐ Broo	klyn NPS - Vasal klyn NPS - Vasal klyn NPS - Jayal klyn PU College Oal	nthapura nagar Vasanthapura e:
Permission (P) Co	ual Leave (CL) - Offi ompensatory Holiday (C	cial Duty (00) PS H) (Tick in the a	ppropriate box)
Designation: Ay		Department:	
Mobile: For the Pariod from . 2.4. Purpose:	16/2017 0 29/B	2019 Number of Days	the Deities
,	OFFICE US	se .	Gorry,
Recommended / Not	Previous Leave Details	Leave Eligible	Sanctioned [
HQ Department	Accounts D	18	Not sanctioned
por uneig	CONDITI		Principal .

CONDITIONS

- Sanction of leave is subject to the availability of leave to the credit of the appropries and leave is not the right of employee.
- Prior approval from Vice Principal/ Principal is Mandatory at least one week in advance.
- Employee cannot avail the leave on the first or/ and lest working day of the academics session.
- « Leave record book to be maintained in the office.
- Leave above 3 days approval from the management is needed.

City PU Co City Colleg City Engg. No.: CEC TSE Please grant me Cass Permission (P) Col Name Designation : Al	llege Broce College Broce EAVEAUPLE Interpretation Hotiday (C	inagar, Bengaluru-7 Diklyn NPS - Vasa Diklyn NPS - Jaya Diklyn PU College Da Icial Duty (OD) Da H) (Tick in the	anthapura anagar - Vasanthapura te: 27/12/19
For the Period from 28/	12) 19 7002/01	120 Number of Days 120 Number of Days 120 1200 21999 eux	T200
Recommended / Not	Previous Leave Details	Leave Eligible	Sunctioned []
HOD			Not sanctioned
HR Department	Accounts to	ept. V	Raniemalay
Sanction of leave is subject to	CONDITIO	ONS:	

 Prior approval from Vice Principal / Principal is Mandatory at least one week in advance. Employee cannot avail the leave on the first or I and last working day of the academics session.

right of employee.

Leave record book to be maintained in the office.

Leave above 3 days - approval from the management is needed.

JAYANAGAR EDUCATION SOCIETY 7" Block, Jayanagar, Bengaluru-70 City PU College			
City Colleg	ollege ☐ Broc ☐ Broc College ☐ Broc	klyn NPS - Jaya	nagar
No.: 27 (1920) Please grant me Cas Permission (P) Co	ual Leave (CL) - Offi Impensatory Holiday (C	icial Duty (OO)	16: 97/12/2017.
ROW	ha k	Department :	N
Mobile :		E-mail: Yeb	har ecity love
Furpose: EDP	12/2019 10 2/11 at Nou Ergineers	o hos	on College
	OFFICE U	1	Sprike.
Recommended Not	Previous Leave Details	Leave Eligible	Sanctioned []
HOD	1		Not sanctioned []
HR Department	Accounts (Dept.	Rangullay Principal
	CONDITI	ONS:	* *

- Sanction of leave is subject to the availability of leave to the credit of the employee and leave is not the right of employee.
- Prior approval from Vice Principal / Principal is Mandatory at least one week in advance.
- Employee cannot avail the leave on the first or I and last working day of the academics session.
- · Leave record book to be maintained in the office.
- Leave above 3 days approval from the management is needed.

No.: CEC CS Please grant me Car Permission (P) C	ollege	oklyn NPS - Jaya oklyn PU College (GW 1914) Ba	0 Inthapura Inagar Vasanthapura Ie: 23 [6(19
Name Sa v	rithri ku	lkarni	
Designation : As	si projeno	Department:	
Mobile :	1	E-mail: Say	Mrs OCity Eng
Far the Poriod from . 2.44 Purpose :	op at Bi	AMIL E	Sangalore.
Purpose :	OP AF BI		Bargalore.
Recommended / Not			Sangalore. Sangalore Sancienes []
Purpose :	OFFICE US	E Leave Eligible	Sangalore Sangalore Sensitive Sensitive Sensitive
Recommended / Not	OFFICE US	Leave Eligible	Santhai K
Recommended / Not	OPFICE US Previous Leave Datails Accounts D	Leave Eligible	Sangalore Carthark Senciones [] Not sanctioned [] Principal



JAYANAGAR EDUCATION SOCIETY 7" Block, Jayanagar, Bengaluru-70

City College City Engg. (No.: CEC/CSe Please grant me Casu	College Brooki	lyn NPS - Jayan lyn PU College Date lal Duty (OD) [35]	agar Vasanthapura 24 [6] 17 nort Leave (SL)	ensity ether spises to the experience and experience of the spises of th
Name D~	npensalory Moliday (CH - Stidhan acteud.		opropriate box)	
Mobile :	eleliq o żąla	1.19. Number of Days		S. Comments
Purpose :	FDP AT BOWN	fortone.	Sortally Signature	a december and a second
Recommended / Not	Previous Leave Details	Leave Eligible	Sanctioned [· September
HOD			Not sandinged [- inches
HR Department	Accounts t	Dept.	Rammunth Principal	and appropriate
	CONDITION			
right of employee.	t to the availability of leave trincipal / Principal is Manda		4/5-	ot the
Employee cannot avail the Leave record book to be n	eleave on the first or / and la	st working day of the		



Date: 06/11/2019

CIRCULAR

Subject: Multidimensional Training of Non-Teaching Staff for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being.

Dear Staff,

We are excited to announce an, Multidimensional Training of Non-Teaching Staff for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being To introduce and implement a multidimensional training program aimed at enhancing both working capability and overall well-being of employees.

Details of the Session: Date: 19/11/2019 at 11 AM in Seminar Hall.

Key Topics to be Covered:

Administrative Process and Procedures
Financial Rules and Procedures
Establishment Rules and Procedures
Lab Safety and Lab Procedures
Record Maintenance

Principal

Lard. X



Date:19/11/2024

Venue:Seminarhall

The complete enrichment of students is said to be totally achieved only when the facilitators in academic, practical and administration all contribute towards the overall development of student and make them ready to face outer world. Therefore not only teaching fraternity, but also non-teaching staff has an important role to play. Generally only teaching staff or the faculty undergo training in order to enhance their knowledge and overall development, but there is hardly any training program for the non-teaching staff, Administrative Staff, and Lab Technicians resulting in downfall in overall growth of student. So it is recommended that for the best outcome the Institution should conduct training program for both teaching and non-teaching staff of City Engineering college.

Non-Teaching staff should contribute effectively towards the Mission/Vision and Objectives of the university/college. Intellectual & skilled development activities should incline to any or all academic, administrative, and Non-Teaching workers to stay updated. The Non-Teaching staff despite years of expertise within the university/college should targeted additional on establishing foundation for his or her career because it is needed for professional development characterized by their got to be trained on Developing and Achieving Personal Goals, Career coming up with and Goal Setting, Understanding Nonverbal Communication and Preventing Job Burnout. Non-Teaching workers educational program on Multidimensional Training of Non-Teaching Staff for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being will facilitate the Non-Teaching workers to spice up their Perfection and improve their Proficiency at Workplace.



All Non-Teaching Officers and Staff for AICTE Approved Academic Institutions such as Administrative Officers, Finance Officers, Librarian, Sports Officer, Laboratory Technicians, Administrative Staff, Library Staff, Finance Staff, Exam Section-Student Section Officers Staff and others, NSS Officials, Estate Officers, Student Welfare Officers and Staff, Lab Incharges and others.

PROGRAM TRACKS:

Administrative Process and Procedures

Financial Rules and Procedures

Establishment Rules and Procedures

Lab Safety and Lab Procedures

Record Maintenance

Store Maintenance and Upkeep, Write-off Store Items Lab Safety and Lab Maintenance Electrical & Mechanical Safety and Electrical & Mechanical Equipment Handling Safety and Security on-off campus.

Food and Canteen Service Management. Food Safety on Campus.



Attendence report on Multidimensional Training of Non-Teaching Staff for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being

Date:19/11/2019

Venue: Seminar Hall

Non-Teaching Faculties:

SI. No	Name	Signature
1	Mr. Raghavendra Pusala	Ragher
2	Ms. C.Pratheeba	Poatheeba
3	Ms. Lathasree V	Latherer
4	Ms. Ramya T c	hanne
5	Mr. Suresh B S	. Suly
6	Mr. Jeghadeshwaran	Jee
7	Mr. Basavaraj B N	Baseraraj
8	Mr. Naveen Kumar M	Naveukung.
9	Mr. Shivaswamy	52.



Attendance Report on Multidimensional Training for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being

Date: 19/11/2019

Non-Teaching Faculties:

Sl. No	Name	Signature
1	Mr. Sachin kumar B V	But
2	Mr. Raghavendra Pusala	Bolls 1
3	Mrs. Suma Manjunath	lu-
4	Mrs. C. Prathceba	Proathebe
5	Mr. Ramesh Kumar N	N. Rass
6	Mr. Sanjeev kulkarni	Sayey
7	Mr. Govindhappa T	eth
8	Mrs. Lathasree V	Lath
9	Ms. Radhika T. S.	Padis.
10	Mr. Siddalingappa L	Ciddulan an ma
11	Mr. Nanjunada Swamy K	20 x 19
12	Mr. Suresh B S	Ceaneth,
13	Mrs. Hemalatha S	I temalatha S
14	Mr. Manjunath Bhat	Manina
15	Mr. Eshwarappa B S	Eshwarappa.
16	Mr. Anantha Swamy	Ananthuramy
17	Mr.Dinesh S	Sor
18	Mr. Tejananda S. K	Tejananda



Attendence report on Multidimensional Training of Non-Teaching Staff for Enrichment in Working Capability and Well-being

Date:19/11/2019

Venue: Seminar Hall

Teaching Faculties:

Sl. No	Name	Signature
1	Dr. Sandhya N	Sandur
2	Dr. N Rajasekhar Reddy	Sandy.N Fragaphy.
3	Mr. Nandish A C	
4	Mr. Vivekananda Reddy	1 1 sur le avour
5	Mr. Deepak N. R	Verekanany. Degal
6	Mr. G. A. Girish	A
7	Mr. Surendranath Gowda D C	Sumandra Civil
8	Ms. Sowmya Naik P T	The state of the s
9	Mr. Vinod Kumar S	12 in alleman
10	Ms. Sowbhagya M.P	Vinodkunan Soughagya
11	Mr. Siddaramappa V	Selviu.
12	Ms. Laxmi M. C	July .
13	Mr. B. Ramesh	Value 1
14	Mr. Ram Manohar Nisarg N S	Rammarchov
15	Mr. Harish R	March
16	Ms. Deepika R	Degita.
17	Ms. Punitha P	6
18	Ms. Mala P	mala
19	Ms. Pushpa S	Dishpe . S.
20	Ms. Kavyashree Yadav J	Va.



Sl. No	Name	Signature
21	Mr. Satish Kumar V	
22	Dr. Venkatesan K G S	Bretish.
23	Ms. Ambika P.R	Venleutefen
24	Ms. Archana Bhat	1 mbile
25	Dr. Sridhara S B	Adv
26	Mr. Ravindra S	Soridhe
27	Ms. Shalini Prasad	Kavindra,
28	Mr. Mallikarjuna G. S	Shalini prognal
29	Ms. Shylaja K	y=12
30	Ms. Madavi J Kulkarni	<u>\$</u>
31	Mr. S.K.L. Narayana	- 100
32	Mr. Vishvakiran R. C	SIL
33	Mr. Chandrnaik G	Dishveror.
34	Dr. Uma T R	Chardoita
35	Mr. Gopikishan	18ma TiR
36	Ms. Nanditha H G	Gorikishan.
37	Ms. Jyothi P	(Alm) Alm
8	Ms. Rekha R	Man
19	Ms. Gayathri Annasagaram	Relcha.



40	Ms. Sunitha N	Lamite X
41	Dr. K Sujatha	Show a
42	Ms. Nagashree G	CA.
43	Dr. V.S Ramamurthy	Raminty
44	Dr. Uma T R	1 morth
45	Mr. Harsha Vardhan U	HV.
46	Mr. Anil Kumar R	Anilkunor
47	Dr. H Karibasappa	tasboyappu.
48	Dr. P Nagabhushana	The state of the s
49	Mr. M C Mahesh Kumar	Milale
50	Mr. Guruprasad N	Maheshkeine



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				1	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					~
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					1

Name: Sandhya N

Signature: Sas



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				1	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					_
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	_
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?		7			
S.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?		1	+		_
	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					_
0.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?	\dashv		1		/

Name: Dr. N. Rajasekhar reddy Signature: Par



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				1	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				/	
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name:

Nandish AC

Signature:



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					/
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					_
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					_
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?		1			
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?		1			
S.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?	+	+	+	1	/
	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content			1		
0.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?	1				

Name: Verekanondareddy

Signature: Vivek



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?	-			/	-
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?	*************************************			/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				1	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	11-10

Name: Deupak N.R.

Signature: Dely



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	_
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					/
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					-
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					7
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				1	10 100
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: G.A. Girish.

Signature: Girls,



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	T-9-0
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					/
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				/	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Scirendranath Gowda.

Signature: Sury



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					_/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					-
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					_
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					

Name: Sowmy Naik PT

Signature:



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					/
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Vinod formar S

Signature: Vincol.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					-
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					-
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?			ee.		
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					_
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?	1				/

Name: Sowbhagya MP

Signature: Sout



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					,
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	1
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				1	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Siddaramappa V

Signature: Siddy



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					7
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					,
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				1	/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				7	

Name: Laxni M.C

Signature: Laxme.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants'				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				-	/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?			-		1
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					1

Name: Romesh B

Signature: Parl



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					_
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				-	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?	-		+		_
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?		+	+	-	_
S. (How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?	1				_
· 1	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content			-		_
0.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?		+	1		

Name: Lammanohar Nisarg

Signature: RM



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					/
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Harish R

Signature: Aay



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				1	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Deepika

Signature: Deeple



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development	-2				
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	,
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Punitha P

Signature: pury



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

SI.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				V	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?			-3000	/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					1
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				/	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				rh	



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	/
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					/
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				1	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				/	
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Pushpa S

Signature: pull.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					1
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					

Name:	Karyashree	Yadar j	Signature:	Ky
	Ravgasnie	gada V	Signature:	+4



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	/
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				_	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				/	
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				1	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	1

Name: Satish tumar V

Signature: Satherh



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?			-		1
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				1	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				/	,
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	1

Name: Venkutesan KGS

Signature: July



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					-
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	-
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					_
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					-
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					

Name: Ambika P.R.

Signature: Ans.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					_
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4,	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				1	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					_
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?			+		/

Name: Mrs. Archana Bhat



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

SI.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	/
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants'				/	_
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	1_
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				1	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				1	1
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				1	1
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in				/	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?	5			/	1

Name: Sridhara SB

Signature: Swide.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					-
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					-112
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				_	
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?			1		
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name:	Ω.	_
(imilities	Ravendra	5

Signature: Pg



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				_	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					/
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					/
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					/
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					1
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					0
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					1

Name: Shalini prasad.

Signature: Shop



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				_	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				+	/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				-	

Name: G.S. mallikarjum



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development			3115		_
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	/
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					- mmes-
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?	1		+	1	/

Name: Shylaja K



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					_
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					1
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					1
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					1
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Vishvakiran R.C

Signature:

Mun 5



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					,
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				1	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	8:52
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					1
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					1

Name: Mr. Chardrika. G

Signature: Chandril.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					-
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				1	7
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: J. R. Uma.

Signature: Ows.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					0
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					_
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?	- 1150				
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?		1			
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				1	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?			1		

Name: Mr. Gopikishan

Signature: Gapiles.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development			-		_
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?			3910		/
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					7
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				7	

Name: Nanditha HG

Signature: Nanoth



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					2
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					elui
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Tyothi

Signature:

W



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No.	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					_
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
5.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?		\dashv	+	\dashv	_
·	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content			1	1	/
0.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?			+		_

Name: Retha R



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue: Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					_
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					1
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					1
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					/
5,	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					1
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					1
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				100	-
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Gayathri Annasagaran signature: Gayattri,



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					1
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Sonitha N.

Signature: Sun



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	-
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	_
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					1
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					1
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					1

Name: K. Sujatha



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?	-0.71				/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				2311	/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Nogashvee . 9



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

SI.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				1	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				_	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?					/
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					-
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				7	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				7	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?			+	/	

Name: Harsha Vardhan ()	Name:	Harsha	Vardhan ()
-------------------------	-------	--------	-----------	---

Signature: He



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development			/		
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?			/		
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?			/		
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?			/		
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?				/	
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?			/		
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content			1		
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Anil Kumar

Signature: Anits



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

SI.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?					
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?					
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?				/	
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	-
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				/	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?			1		

Name: Kari basappa

Signature: Karulus.



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development			/		
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?				/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?				/	

Name: Nagabhushana

Signature: Nage buy



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development				/	
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?			1000	/	
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				/	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?					,
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?					/
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?					/
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content					/
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					/

Name: Mc. Makesh kumar

Signature: Mub



Date: 19/11/2019

Venue:Seminarhall

Feedback Form

Please provide us with your feedback by completing this questionnaire.

Presenter Evaluation Please rate the presenter on the following scale.

1: Poor, 2: Fair, 3: Good, 4: Very good, 5: Excellent.

Sl.No	Description	1	2	3	4	5
1.	How effectively does the training address different dimensions of staff development					
2.	what extent does the training enhance participants' working capability?				1	_
3	Do participants feel that the training has provided them with technical tools they can apply in their roles?				1	
4.	How satisfied are participants with the overall quality of the training program?			/	/	
5.	Are the training methods and skills engaging and interactive?				/	-
6.	How well does the training incorporate strategies for improving staff well-being?					/
7.	How effectively does the training address the unique challenges faced by non-teaching staff?			/		
8.	How well do participants feel the training has contributed to their personal and professional growth?				/	
9.	Are the trainers knowledgeable and effective in delivering the content				/	
10.	Does the training offer sufficient follow-up or ongoing support to reinforce learning?					,

Name: Guruprasad.

Signature: Gurf.